



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,257	10/30/2003	William W. Cheng	03T004	2940

7590 07/06/2006

David T. Yang, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Suite 3500
555 est Fifth Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1024

EXAMINER

WELLS, KENNETH B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2816

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

87

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,257	CHENG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth B. Wells	2816	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kenneth B. Wells. (3) _____
 (2) David Yang. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 June 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 28.

Identification of prior art discussed: Baskett, AAPA Fig. 1.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant's representative presented arguments against the combination of AAPA Fig. 1 in view of Baskett, i.e., that it would not have been obvious to combine this prior art as set forth in the office action. The examiner indicated that he would reconsider the rejection upon further review of the references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required